She was 17 years old. The blurry video shows her lying in a dusty road, blood streaming down her face, as several men kick and throw rocks at her. At one point she struggles to sit up, but a man kicks her in the face forcing her back to the ground. Another slams a large, concrete block down onto her head. Scores of onlookers cheer as the blood streams from her battered head.¹

The April 7, 2007, video was taken in the Kurdish area of northern Iraq on a mobile phone. It shows what appear to be several uniformed police officers standing on the edge of the crowd, watching while others film the violent assault on their phones.

The brutal, public murder of Du’a Khalil Aswad reportedly was organized as an “honor killing” by members of her family — and her uncles and a brother allegedly were among those in the mob who beat her to death. Her crime? She offended her community by falling in love with a man outside her religious sect.²

According to the United Nations, an estimated 5,000 women and girls are murdered in honor killings each year, but it was only when the video of Aswad’s murder was posted on the Internet that the global media took notice.³

Such killings don’t only happen in remote villages in developing countries. Police in the United Kingdom estimate that up to 17,000 women are subjected to some kind of “honor”-related violence each year, ranging from forced marriages and physical attacks to murder.⁴

But honor killings are only one type of what the international community calls “gender based violence” (GBV). “It is universal,” says Taina Bien-Aimé, executive director of the New York-based

From CQ Global Researcher, May 2008.
Only Four Countries Offer Total Equality for Women

Costa Rica, Cuba, Sweden and Norway receive the highest score (9 points) in an annual survey of women’s economic, political and social rights. Out of the world’s 193 countries, only 26 score 7 points or better, while 28 — predominantly Islamic or Pacific Island countries — score 3 or less. The United States rates 7 points: a perfect 3 on economic rights but only 2 each for political and social rights. To receive 3 points for political rights, women must hold at least 30 percent of the seats in the national legislature. Women hold only 16.6 percent of the seats in the U.S. Congress. The U.S. score of 2 on social rights reflects what the report’s authors call “high societal discrimination against women’s reproductive rights.”

Status of Women’s Rights Around the Globe

What the Ratings Mean:
- 7-9 Offer the most equality for women
- 4-6 Offer moderate equality for women
- 0-3 Offer the least equality for women
- Data not available

Source: Cingranelli-Richards Human Rights Dataset, http://ciri.binghamton.edu/, based on Amnesty International's annual reports and U.S. State Department annual Country Reports on Human Rights. The database is co-directed by David Louis Cingranelli, a political science professor at Binghamton University, SUNY, and David L. Richards, an assistant political science professor at the University of Memphis.

Women’s rights group Equality Now. “There is not one country in the world where violence against women doesn’t exist.”

Thousands of women are murdered or attacked around the world each day, frequently with impunity. In Guatemala, where an estimated 3,000 women have been killed over the past seven years, most involving some kind of misogynistic violence, only 1 percent of the perpetrators were convicted. In India, the United Nations estimates that five women are burned to death each day by husbands upset that they did not receive sufficient dowries from their brides. In Asia, nearly 163 million females are “missing” from the population — the result of sex-selective abortions, infanticide or neglect.
And since the 1990s some African countries have seen dramatic upsurges in rapes of very young girls by men who believe having sex with a virgin will protect or cure them from HIV-AIDS. After a 70-year-old man allegedly raped a 3-year-old girl in northern Nigeria’s commercial hub city of Kano, Deputy Police Chief Suleiman Abba told reporters in January, “Child rape is becoming rampant in Kano.” In the last six months of 2007, he said, 54 cases of child rape had been reported. “In some cases the victims are gang-raped.”

Epidemics of sexual violence commonly break out in countries torn apart by war, when perpetrators appear to have no fear of prosecution. Today, in Africa, for instance, UNICEF says there is now a “license to rape” in eastern regions of the Democratic Republic of the Congo, where some human-rights experts estimate that up to a quarter of a million women have been raped and often sexually mutilated with knives, branches or machetes. Several of the Congolese rapists remorselessly bragged to an American filmmaker recently about how many women they had gang-raped.

“The sexual violence in Congo is the worst in the world,” said John Holmes, the United Nations under secretary general for humanitarian affairs. “The sheer numbers, the wholesale brutality, the culture of impunity — it’s appalling.”

In some cultures, the female victims themselves are punished. A report by the Human Rights Commission of Pakistan found that a woman is gang-raped every eight hours in that country. Yet, until recently, rape cases could not be prosecuted in Pakistan unless four Muslim men “all of a pious and trustworthy nature” were willing to testify that they witnessed the attack. Without their testimony the victim could be prosecuted for fornication and alleging a false crime, punishable by stoning, lashings or prison. When the law was softened in 2006 to allow judges to decide whether to try rape cases in Islamic courts or criminal courts, where such witnesses are not required, thousands took to the streets to protest the change.

Honor killings are up 400 percent in Pakistan over the last two years, and Pakistani women also live in fear of being blinded or disfigured by “acid attacks” — a common practice in Pakistan and a handful of other countries — in which attackers, usually spurned suitors, throw acid on a woman’s face and body.

### Women’s Suffering Is Widespread

More than two decades after the U.N. Decade for Women and 29 years after the U.N. adopted the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW), gender discrimination remains pervasive throughout the world, with widespread negative consequences for society.

**According to recent studies on the status of women today:**

- Violence against women is pervasive. It impoverishes women, their families, communities and nations by lowering economic productivity and draining resources. It also harms families across generations and reinforces other violence in societies.
- Domestic violence is the most common form of violence against women, with rates ranging from 8 percent in Albania to 49 percent in Ethiopia and Zambia. Domestic violence and rape account for 5 percent of the disease burden for women ages 15 to 44 in developing countries and 19 percent in developed countries.
- Femicide — the murder of women — often involves sexual violence. From 40 to 70 percent of women murdered in Australia, Canada, Israel, South Africa and the United States are killed by husbands or boyfriends. Hundreds of women were abducted, raped and murdered in and around Juárez, Mexico, over the past 15 years, but the crimes have never been solved.
- At least 160 million females, mostly in India and China, are “missing” from the population — the result of sex-selective abortions.
- Rape is being used as a genocidal tool. Hundreds of thousands of women have been raped and sexually mutilated in the ongoing conflict in Eastern Congo. An estimated 250,000 to 500,000 women were raped during the 1994 genocide in Rwanda; up to 50,000 women were raped during the Bosnian conflict in the 1990s. Victims are often left unable to have children and are deserted by their husbands and shunned by their families, plunging the women and their children into poverty.
- Some 130 million girls have been genitally mutilated, mostly in Africa and Yemen, but also in immigrant communities in the West.
- Child rape has been on the increase in the past decade in some African countries, where some men believe having sex with a virgin will protect or cure them from HIV-AIDS. A study at the Red Cross children’s hospital in Cape Town, South Africa, found that 3-year-old girls were more likely to be raped than any other age group.
- Two million girls between the ages of 5 and 15 are forced into the commercial sex market each year, many of them trafficked across international borders.
- Sexual harassment is pervasive. From 40 to 50 percent of women in the European Union reported some form of sexual harassment at work; 50 percent of schoolgirls surveyed in Malawi reported sexual harassment at school.
- Women and girls constitute 70 percent of those living on less than a dollar a day and 64 percent of the world’s illiterate.
- Women work two-thirds of the total hours worked by men and women but earn only 10 percent of the income.
- Half of the world’s food is produced by women, but women own only 1 percent of the world’s land.
- More than 1.300 women die each day during pregnancy and childbirth — 99 percent of them in developing countries.

## Negative Attitudes Toward Women Are Pervasive

Negative attitudes about women are widespread around the globe, among women as well as men. Rural women are more likely than city women to condone domestic abuse if they think it was provoked by a wife's behavior.

### Percentage of women in selected countries who agree that a man has good reason to beat his wife if:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Wife does not complete housework</th>
<th>Wife disobeys husband</th>
<th>Wife refuses sex</th>
<th>Wife asks about other women</th>
<th>Husband suspects infidelity</th>
<th>Wife is unfaithful</th>
<th>One or more of the reasons mentioned</th>
<th>None of the reasons mentioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh city</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>46.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh province</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil city</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>90.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil province</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>66.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia province</td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>77.7</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>79.5</td>
<td>91.1</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan city</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>81.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namibia city</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>79.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru city</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>66.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru province</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samoa</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serbia and Montenegro city</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>93.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand city</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>55.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand province</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>69.5</td>
<td>30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania city</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania province</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>31.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Countries in transition are generally those that were once part of the Soviet Union.*

**Sources:** World Health Organization, [www.who.int/gender/violence/who_multicountry_study/Chapter3-Chapter4.pdf](http://www.who.int/gender/violence/who_multicountry_study/Chapter3-Chapter4.pdf); “World Values Survey,” [www.worldvaluessurvey.org](http://www.worldvaluessurvey.org)
But statistics on murder and violence are only a part of the disturbing figures on the status of women around the globe. Others include:

- Some 130 million women have undergone female genital mutilation, and another 2 million are at risk every year, primarily in Africa and Yemen.
- Women and girls make up 70 percent of the world’s poor and two-thirds of its illiterate.
- Women work two-thirds of the total hours worked by men but earn only 10 percent of the income.
- Women produce more than half of the world’s food but own less than 1 percent of the world’s property.
- More than 500,000 women die during pregnancy and childbirth every year — 99 percent of them in developing countries.
- Two million girls between the ages of 5 and 15 are forced into the commercial sex market each year.
- Globally, 10 million more girls than boys do not attend school.

Despite these alarming numbers, women have made historic progress in some areas. The number of girls receiving an education has increased in the past decade. Today 57 percent of children not attending school are girls, compared to two-thirds in the 1990s.

And women have made significant gains in the political arena. As of March, 2008, 14 women are serving as elected heads of state or government, and women now hold 17.8 percent of the world’s parliamentary seats — more than ever before. And just three months after the brutal killing of Aiswarya in Iraq, India swore in its first female president, Pratibha Patil, who vows to eliminate that country’s practice of aborting female fetuses because girls are not as valued as boys in India. (See “At Issue,” p. 23.)

Last October, Argentina elected its first female president, Cristina Fernández de Kirchner,* the second woman in two years to be elected president in South America. Michelle Bachelet, a single mother, won the presidency in Chile in 2006. During her inaugural speech, Kirchner admitted, “Perhaps it’ll be harder for me, because I’m a woman. It will always be harder for us.”

Indeed, while more women than ever now lead national governments, they hold only 4.4 percent of the world’s 342 presidential and prime ministerial positions. And in no country do they hold 50 percent or more of the national legislative seats.

“Women make up half the world’s population, but they are not represented” at that level, says Swanee Hunt, former U.S. ambassador to Austria and founding director of the Women and Public Policy Program at Harvard’s Kennedy School of Government.

While this is “obviously a fairness issue,” she says it also affects the kinds of public policies governments pursue. When women comprise higher percentages of officeholders, studies show “distinct differences in legislative outputs,” Hunt explains. “There’s less funding of bombs and bullets and more on human security — not just how to defend territory but also on hospitals and general well-being.”

Today’s historic numbers of women parliamentarians have resulted partly from gender quotas imposed in nearly 100 countries, which require a certain percentage of women candidates or officeholders.

During the U.N.’s historic Fourth World Conference on Women — held in Beijing in 1995 — 189 governments adopted, among other things, a goal of 30 percent female representation in national legislatures around the world. But today, only 20 countries have reached that goal, and quotas are often attacked as limiting voters’ choices and giving women unfair advantages.

Along with increasing female political participation, the 5,000 government representatives at the Beijing conference — one of the largest gatherings in U.N. history — called for improved health care for women, an end to violence against women, equal access to education for girls, promotion of economic independence and other steps to improve the condition of women around the world.

“Let Beijing be the platform from which our global crusade will be carried forward,” Gertrude Mongella, U.N. secretary general for the conference, said during closing ceremonies. “The world will hold us accountable for the implementation of the good intentions and decisions arrived at in Beijing.”

* Isabel Martínez Perón assumed the presidency of Argentina on the death of her husband, Juan Perón, in 1974 and served until she was deposed in a coup d’état in 1976; but she was never elected.
But more than 10 years later, much of the Beijing Platform still has not been achieved. And many question whether women are any better off today than they were in 1995.

“The picture’s mixed,” says June Zeitlin, executive director of the Women’s Environment & Development Organization (WEDO). “In terms of violence against women, there is far more recognition of what is going on today. There has been some progress with education and girls. But the impact of globalization has exacerbated differences between men and women. The poor have gotten poorer — and they are mostly women.”

Liberalized international trade has been a two-edged sword in other ways as well. Corporations have been able to expand their global reach, opening new businesses and factories in developing countries and offering women unprecedented employment and economic opportunities. But the jobs often pay low wages and involve work in dangerous conditions because poor countries anxious to attract foreign investors often are willing to ignore safety and labor protections. And increasingly porous international borders have led to growing numbers of women and girls being forced or sold into prostitution or sexual slavery abroad, often under the pretense that they will be given legitimate jobs overseas.

Numerous international agreements in recent years have pledged to provide women with the same opportunities and protections as men, including the U.N.’s Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) and the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW). But the MDGs’ deadlines for improving the conditions for women have either been missed already or are on track to fail in the coming years. And more than 70 of the 185 countries that ratified CEDAW have filed “reservations,” meaning they exempt themselves from certain parts. In fact, there are more reservations against CEDAW than against any other international human-rights treaty in history. The United States remains the only developed country in the world not to have ratified it.

“There has certainly been progress in terms of the rhetoric. But there are still challenges in the disparities in education, disparities in income, disparities in health,” says Carla Koppell, director of the Cambridge, Mass.-based Initiative for Inclusive Security, which advocates for greater numbers of women in peace negotiations.

“But women are not just victims,” she continues. “They have a very unique and important role to play in solving the problems of the developing world. We need to charge policy makers to match the rhetoric and make it a reality. There is a really wonderful opportunity to use the momentum that does exist. I really think we can.”

Amidst the successes and failures surrounding women’s issues, here are some of the questions analysts are beginning to ask:

**Has globalization been good for women?**

Over the last 20 years, trade liberalization has led to a massive increase of goods being produced and exported from developing countries, creating millions of manufacturing jobs and bringing many women into the paid workforce for the first time.

“Women employed in export-oriented manufacturing typically earn more than they would have in traditional sectors,” according to a World Bank report. “Further, cash income earned by women may improve their status and bargaining power in the family.” The report cited a study of 50 families in Mexico that found “a significant proportion of the women reported an improvement in their ‘quality of life,’ due mainly to their income from working outside their homes, including in (export-oriented) factory jobs.”
But because women in developing nations are generally less educated than men and have little bargaining power, most of these jobs are temporary or part-time, offering no health-care benefits, overtime or sick leave.

Women comprise 85 percent of the factory jobs in the garment industry in Bangladesh and 90 percent in Cambodia. In the cut flower industry, women hold 65 percent of the jobs in Colombia and 87 percent in Zimbabwe. In the fruit industry, women constitute 69 percent of temporary and seasonal workers in South Africa and 52 percent in Chile.  

Frequently, women in these jobs have no formal contract with their employers, making them even more vulnerable to poor safety conditions and abuse. One study found that only 46 percent of women garment workers in Bangladesh had an official letter of employment.  

“Women are a workforce vital to the global economy, but the jobs women are in often aren’t covered by labor protections,” says Thalia Kidder, a policy adviser on gender and sustainable livelihoods with U.K.-based Oxfam, a confederation of 12 international aid organizations. Women lack protection because they mostly work as domestics, in home-based businesses and as part-time workers. “In the global economy, many companies look to hire the most powerless people because they cannot demand high wages. There are not a lot of trade treaties that address labor rights.”

In addition to recommending that countries embrace free trade, Western institutions like the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank during the 1990s recommended that developing countries adopt so-called structural adjustment economic reforms in order to qualify for certain loans and financial support. Besides opening borders to free trade, the neo-liberal economic regime known as the Washington Consensus advocated privatizing state-owned businesses, balancing budgets and attracting foreign investment.

But according to some studies, those reforms ended up adversely affecting women. For instance, companies in Ecuador were encouraged to make jobs more “flexible” by replacing long-term contracts with temporary, seasonal and hourly positions — while restricting collective bargaining rights. And countries streamlined and privatized government programs such as health care and education, services women depend on most.

Globalization also has led to a shift toward cash crops grown for export, which hurts women farmers, who produce 60 to 80 percent of the food for household consumption in developing countries. Small women farmers are being pushed off their land so crops for exports can be grown, limiting their abilities to produce food for themselves and their families.

While economic globalization has yet to create the economic support needed to help women out of poverty, women’s advocates say females have benefited from the broadening of communications between countries prompted by globalization. “It has certainly improved access to communications and helped human-rights campaigns,” says Zeitlin of WEDO. “Less can be done in secret. If there is a woman who is condemned to be stoned to death somewhere, you can almost immediately mobilize a global campaign against it.”

Homa Hoodfar, a professor of social anthropology at Concordia University in Montreal, Canada, and a founder of the group Women Living Under Muslim Laws, says women in some of the world’s most remote towns and villages regularly e-mail her organization. “Globalization has made the world much smaller,” she says. “Women are getting information on TV and the Internet. The fact that domestic violence has become a global issue [shows globalization] provides resources for those objecting locally.”

But open borders also have enabled the trafficking of millions of women around the world. An estimated 800,000 people are trafficked across international borders each year — 80 percent of them women and girls — and most are forced into the commercial sex trade. Millions more are trafficked within their own countries. Globalization has sparked a massive migration of women in search of better jobs and lives. About 90 million women — half of the world’s migrants and more than ever in history — reside outside their home countries. These migrant women — often unable to speak the local language and without any family connections — are especially susceptible to traffickers who lure them with promises of jobs abroad.

And those who do not get trapped in the sex trade often end up in low-paying or abusive jobs in foreign factories or as domestic maids working under slave-like conditions.
Female Peacekeepers Fill Vital Roles

Women bring a different approach to conflict resolution.

The first all-female United Nations peacekeeping force left Liberia in January after a year’s mission in the West African country, which is rebuilding itself after 14 years of civil war. Comprised of more than 100 women from India, the force was immediately replaced by a second female team.

“If anyone questioned the ability of women to do tough jobs, then those doubters have been [proven] wrong,” said U.N. Special Representative for Liberia Ellen Margrethe Løj, adding that the female peacekeepers inspired many Liberian women to join the national police force.1

Women make up half of the world’s refugees and have systematically been targeted for rape and sexual abuse during times of war, from the 200,000 “comfort women” who were kept as sex slaves for Japanese soldiers during World War II to the estimated quarter-million women reportedly raped and sexually assaulted during the current conflict in the Democratic Republic of the Congo.3 But women account for only 5 percent of the world’s security-sector jobs, and in many countries they are excluded altogether.4

In 2000, the U.N. Security Council unanimously adopted Resolution 1325 calling on governments — and the U.N. itself — to include women in peace building by adopting a variety of measures, including appointing more women as special envoys, involving women in peace negotiations, integrating gender-based policies in peacekeeping missions and increasing the number of women at all decision-making levels.3

But while Resolution 1325 was a critical step in bringing women into the peace process, women’s groups say more women should be sent on field missions and more data collected on how conflict affects women around the world.6

“Women are often viewed as victims, but another way to view them is as the maintainers of society,” says Carla Koppell, director of the Cambridge, Mass.-based Initiative for Inclusive Security, which promotes greater numbers of women in peacekeeping and conflict resolution. “There must be a conscious decision to include women. It’s a detriment to promote peace without including women.”

Women often comprise the majority of post-conflict survivor populations, especially when large numbers of men have either fled or been killed. In the wake of the 1994 Rwandan genocide, for example, women made up 70 percent of the remaining population.

And female peacekeepers and security forces can fill vital roles men often cannot, such as searching Islamic women wearing burkas or working with rape victims who may be reluctant to report the crimes to male soldiers.

But some experts say the real problem is not migration and globalization but the lack of labor protection. “Nothing is black and white,” says Marianne Mollmann, advocacy director for the Women’s Rights Division of Human Rights Watch. “Globalization has created different employment opportunities for women. Migration flows have made women vulnerable. But it’s a knee-jerk reaction to say that women shouldn’t migrate. You can’t prevent migration. So where do we need to go?” She suggests including these workers in general labor-law protections that cover all workers.

Mollmann said countries can and should hammer out agreements providing labor and wage protections for domestic workers migrating across borders. With such protections, she said, women could benefit from the jobs and incomes promised by increased migration and globalization.

Should governments impose electoral quotas for women?

In 2003, as Rwanda struggled to rebuild itself after the genocide that killed at least 800,000 Hutus and Tutsis, the country adopted an historic new constitution that, among other things, required that women hold at least 30 percent of posts “in all decision-making organs.”39

Today — ironically, just across Lake Kivu from the horrors occurring in Eastern Congo — Rwanda’s lower house of parliament now leads the world in female representation, with 48.8 percent of the seats held by women.40
“Women bring different experiences and issues to the table,” says Koppell. “I’ve seen it personally in the Darfur and Uganda peace negotiations. Their priorities were quite different. Men were concerned about power- and wealth-sharing. Those are valid, but you get an entirely different dimension from women. Women talked about security on the ground, security of families, security of communities.”

In war-torn countries, women have been found to draw on their experiences as mothers to find nonviolent and flexible ways to solve conflict. During peace negotiations in Northern Ireland, for example, male negotiators repeatedly walked out of sessions, leaving a small number of women at the table. The women, left to their own, found areas of common ground and were able to keep discussions moving forward.

“The most important thing is introducing the definition of security from a woman’s perspective,” said Orzala Ashraf, founder of Kabul-based Humanitarian Assistance for the Women and Children of Afghanistan. “It is not a man in a uniform standing next to a tank armed with a gun. Women have a broader term — human security — the ability to go to school, receive health care, work and have access to justice. Only by improving these areas can threats from insurgents, Taliban, drug lords and warlords be countered.”

Before the civil war, Rwandan women never held more than 18 percent of parliament. But after the genocide, the country’s population was 70 percent female. Women immediately stepped in to fill the vacuum, becoming the heads of households, community leaders and business owners. Their increased presence in leadership positions eventually led to the new constitutional quotas.

“We see so many post-conflict countries going from military regimes to democracy that are starting from scratch with new constitutions,” says Drude Dahlerup, a professor of political science at Sweden’s Stockholm University who studies the use of gender quotas. “Today, starting from scratch means including women. It’s seen as a sign of modernization and democratization.”

Both Iraq and Afghanistan included electoral quotas for women in their new constitutions, and the number of women in political office in sub-Saharan Africa has increased faster than in any other region of the world, primarily through the use of quotas.

But many point out that simply increasing the numbers of women in elected office will not necessarily expand women’s rights. “It depends on which women and which positions they represent,” says Wendy Harcourt, chair of Women in Development Europe (WIDE), a feminist network in Europe, and editor of Development, the journal of the Society for International Development, a global network of individuals and institutions working on development issues. “It’s positive, but I don’t see yet what it means [in terms of addressing] broader gender issues.”
While Afghanistan has mandated that women hold at least 27 percent of the government's lower house seats and at least 17 percent of the upper house, their increased representation appears to have done little to improve women's rights. Earlier this year, a student journalist was condemned to die under Afghanistan's strict Islamic sharia law after he distributed articles from the Internet on women's rights. And non-governmental groups in Afghanistan report that Afghan women and girls have begun killing themselves in record numbers, burning themselves alive in order to escape widespread domestic abuse or forced marriages.

Having gender quotas alone doesn’t necessarily ensure that women’s rights will be broadened, says Hoodfar of Concordia University. It depends on the type of quota a government implements, she argues, pointing out that in Jordan, for example, the government has set aside parliamentary seats for the six women who garner the most votes of any other female candidates in their districts — even if they do not win more votes than male candidates. Many small, conservative tribes that cannot garner enough votes for a male in a countrywide victory are now nominating their sisters and wives in the hope that the lower number of votes needed to elect a woman will get them one of the reserved seats. As a result, many of the women moving into the reserved seats are extremely conservative and actively oppose providing women greater rights and freedoms.

And another kind of quota has been used against women in her home country of Iran, Hoodfar points out. Currently, 64 percent of university students in Iran are women. But the
government recently mandated that at least 40 percent of university enrollees be male, forcing many female students out of school, Hoodfar said.

“Before, women didn’t want to use quotas for politics because of concern the government may try to use it against women,” she says. “But women are beginning to look into it and talk about maybe developing a good system.”

Quotas can be enacted by constitutional requirements, such as those enacted in Rwanda, by statute or voluntarily by political parties. Quotas also can vary in their requirements: They can mandate the number of women each party must nominate, how many women must appear on the ballot (and the order in which they appear, so women are not relegated to the bottom of the list), or the number of women who must hold government office. About 40 countries now use gender quotas in national parliamentary elections, while another 50 have major political parties that voluntarily use quotas to determine candidates.

Aside from questions about the effectiveness of quotas, others worry about the fairness of establishing quotas based on gender. “That’s something feminists have traditionally opposed,” says Harcourt.

“It’s true, but it’s also not fair the way it is now,” says former Ambassador Hunt. “We are where we are today through all kinds of social structures that are not fair. Quotas are the lesser of two evils.”

Stockholm University’s Dahlerup says quotas are not “discrimination against men but compensation for discrimination against women.” Yet quotas are not a panacea for women in politics, she contends. “It’s a mistake to think this is a kind of tool that will solve all problems. It doesn’t solve problems about financing campaigns, caring for families while being in politics or removing patriarchal attitudes. It would be nice if it wasn’t necessary, and hopefully sometime in the future it won’t be.”

Until that time, however, quotas are a “necessary evil,” she says.

---

**Women Still Far from Reaching Political Parity**

Although they have made strides in the past decade, women hold only a small minority of the world’s leadership and legislative posts (right). Nordic parliaments have the highest rates of female representation — 41.4 percent — compared with only 9 percent in Arab countries (below). However, Arab legislatures have nearly tripled their female representation since 1997, and some countries in Africa have dramatically increased theirs as well: Rwanda, at 48.8 percent, now has the world’s highest percentage of women in parliament of any country. The U.S. Congress ranks 70th in the world, with 89 women serving in the 535-member body — or 16.6 percent.

* Includes deputy prime ministers, ministers and prime ministers who hold ministerial portfolios.

Do international treaties improve women’s rights?

In recent decades, a variety of international agreements have been signed by countries pledging to improve women’s lives, from the 1979 Convention for the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women to the Beijing Platform of 1995 to the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) adopted in 2000. The agreements aimed to provide women with greater access to health, political representation, economic stability and social status. They also focused attention on some of the biggest obstacles facing women.

But despite the fanfare surrounding the launch of those agreements, many experts on women’s issues say on-the-ground action has yet to match the rhetoric. “The report is mixed,” says Haleh Afshar, a professor of politics and women’s studies at the University of York in the United Kingdom and a nonpartisan, appointed member of the House of Lords, known as a crossbench peer. “The biggest problem with Beijing is all these things were stated, but none were funded. Unfortunately, I don’t see any money. You don’t get the pay, you don’t get the job done.”

The Beijing Platform for Action, among other things, called on governments to “adjust budgets to ensure equality of access to public sector expenditures” and even to “reduce, as appropriate, excessive military expenditure” in order to achieve the Platform goals.

But adequate funding has yet to be provided, say women’s groups. In a report entitled “Beijing Betrayed,” the Women’s Environment & Development Organization says female HIV cases outnumber male cases in many parts of the world, gender-related violence remains a pandemic and women still make up the majority of the world’s poor — despite pledges in Beijing to reverse these trends.

And funding is not the only obstacle. A 2004 U.N. survey revealed that while many countries have enacted laws in recent years to help protect women from violence and discrimination, long-standing social and cultural traditions block progress. “While constitutions provided for equality between women and men on the one hand, [several countries] recognized and gave precedent to customary law and practice in a number of areas . . . resulting in discrimination against women,” the report said. “Several countries noted that statutory, customary and religious law coexist, especially in regard to family, personal status and inheritance and land rights. This perpetuated discrimination against women.”

While she worries about the lack of progress on the Beijing Platform, WEDO Executive Director Zeitlin says international agreements are nevertheless critical in raising global awareness on women’s issues. “They have a major impact on setting norms and standards,” she says. “In many countries, norms and standards are very important in setting goals for women to advocate for. We complain about lack of implementation, but if we didn’t have the norms and standards we couldn’t complain about a lack of implementation.”
Like the Beijing Platform, the MDGs have been criticized for not achieving more. While the U.N. says promoting women’s rights is essential to achieving the millennium goals — which aim to improve the lives of all the world’s populations by 2015 — only two of the eight specifically address women’s issues.

One of the goals calls for countries to “Promote gender equality and empower women.” But it sets only one measurable target: “Eliminate gender disparity in primary and secondary education, preferably by 2005, and in all levels of education” by 2015. Some 62 countries failed to reach the 2005 deadline, and many are likely to miss the 2015 deadline as well.

Another MDG calls for a 75 percent reduction in maternal mortality compared to 1990 levels. But according to the human-rights group ActionAid, this goal is the “most off track of all the MDGs.” Rates are declining at less than 1 percent a year, and in some countries — such as Sierra Leone, Pakistan and Guatemala — maternal mortality has increased since 1990. If that trend continues, no region in the developing world is expected to reach the goal by 2015.

Activist Peggy Antrobus of Development Alternatives with Women for a New Era (DAWN) — a network of feminists from the Southern Hemisphere, based currently in Calabar, Cross River State, Nigeria — has lambasted the MDGs, quipping that the acronym stands for the “Most Distracting Gimmick.” Many feminists argue that the goals are too broad to have any real impact and that the MDGs should have given more attention to women’s issues.

But other women say international agreements — and the public debate surrounding them — are vital in promoting gender equality. “It’s easy to get disheartened, but Beijing is still the blueprint of where we need to be,” says Mollmann of Human Rights Watch. “They are part of a political process, the creation of an international culture. If systematically everyone says [discrimination against women] is a bad thing, states don’t want to be hauled out as systematic violators.”

In particular, Mollmann said, CEDAW has made real progress in overcoming discrimination against women. Unlike the Beijing Platform and the MDGs, CEDAW legally obliges countries to comply. Each of the 185 ratifying countries must submit regular reports to the U.N. outlining their progress under the convention. Several countries — including Brazil, Uganda, South Africa and Australia — also have incorporated CEDAW provisions into their constitutions and legal systems.

Still, dozens of ratifying countries have filed official “reservations” against the convention, including Bahrain, Egypt, Kuwait, Morocco and the United Arab Emirates, all of whom say they will comply only within the bounds of Islamic sharia law. And the United States has refused to ratify CEDAW, with or without reservations, largely because of conservatives who say it would, among other things, promote abortion and require the government to pay for such things as child care and maternity leave.

While many women have gotten factory jobs thanks to globalization of trade, women still comprise 70 percent of the planet’s inhabitants living on less than a dollar a day. Women perform a variety of tasks around the world, ranging from gathering flax in Belarus (top) to shepherding goats in central Argentina (bottom).
Issues for Debate on Family Violence

**BACKGROUND**

*‘Structural Defects’*

Numerous prehistoric relics suggest that at one time matriarchal societies existed on Earth in which women were in the upper echelons of power. Because early societies did not understand the connection between sexual relations and conception, they believed women were solely responsible for reproduction — which led to the worship of female goddesses.

In more modern times, however, women have generally faced prejudice and discrimination at the hands of a patriarchal society. In about the eighth century B.C. creation stories emerged describing the fall of man due to the weakness of women. The Greeks recounted the story of Pandora who, through her opening of a sealed jar, unleashed death and pain on all of mankind. Meanwhile, similar tales in Judea eventually were recounted in Genesis, with Eve as the culprit.

In ancient Greece, women were treated as children and denied basic rights. They could not leave their houses unchaperoned, were prohibited from being educated or buying or selling land. A father could sell his unmarried daughter into slavery if she lost her virginity before marriage. If a woman was raped, she was outcast and forbidden from participating in public ceremonies or wearing jewelry.

The status of women in early Rome was not much better, although over time women began to assert their voices and slowly gained greater freedoms. Eventually, they were able to own property and divorce their husbands. But early Christian leaders later denounced the legal and social freedom enjoyed by Roman women as a sign of moral decay. In the view of the early church, women were dependent on and subordinate to men.

In the 13th century, the Catholic priest and theologian St. Thomas Aquinas helped set the tone for the subjugation of women in Western society. He said women were created solely to be “man’s helpmate” and advocated that men should make use of “a necessary object, woman, who is needed to preserve the species or to provide food and drink.”

From the 14th to 17th centuries, misogyny and oppression of women took a step further. As European societies struggled against the Black Plague, the 100 Years War and turmoil between Catholics and Reformers, religious leaders began to blame tragedies, illnesses and other problems on witches. As witch hysteria spread across Europe — instituted by both the religious and non-religious — an estimated 30,000 to 60,000 people were executed for allegedly practicing witchcraft. About 80 percent were females, some as young as 8 years old.

“All wickedness is but little to the wickedness of a woman,” Catholic inquisitors wrote in the 1480s. “What else is woman but a foe to friendship, an unescapable punishment, a necessary evil, a natural temptation, a desirable calamity. . . . Women are . . . instruments of Satan, . . . a structural defect rooted in the original creation.”

*Push for Protections*

The Age of Enlightenment and the Industrial Revolution in the 18th and 19th centuries opened up job opportunities for women, released them from domestic confines and provided them with new social freedoms.

In 1792 Mary Wollstonecraft published *A Vindication of the Rights of Women*, which has been hailed as “the feminist declaration of independence.” Although the book had been heavily influenced by the French Revolution’s notions of equality and universal brotherhood, French revolutionary leaders, ironically, were not sympathetic to feminist causes. In 1789 they had refused to accept a Declaration of the Rights of Women when it was presented at the National Assembly. And Jean Jacques Rousseau, one of the philosophical founders of the revolution, had written in 1762:
“The whole education of women ought to be relative to men. To please them, to be useful to them, to make themselves loved and honored by them, to educate them when young, to care for them when grown, to counsel them, to make life sweet and agreeable to them — these are the duties of women at all times, and what should be taught them from their infancy.”

As more and more women began taking jobs outside the home during the 19th century, governments began to pass laws to “protect” them in the workforce and expand their legal rights. The British Mines Act of 1842, for instance, prohibited women from working underground. In 1867, John Stuart Mill, a supporter of women’s rights and author of the book *Subjection of Women*, introduced language in the British House of Commons calling for women to be granted the right to vote. It failed.

But by that time governments around the globe had begun enacting laws giving women rights they had been denied for centuries. As a result of the Married Women’s Property Act of 1870 and a series of other measures, wives in Britain were finally allowed to own property. In 1893, New Zealand became the first nation to grant full suffrage rights to women, followed over the next two decades by Finland, Norway, Denmark and Iceland. The United States granted women suffrage in 1920.

One of the first international labor conventions, formulated at Berne, Switzerland, in 1906, applied exclusively to women — prohibiting night work for women in industrial occupations. Twelve nations signed on to it. During the second Berne conference in 1913, language was proposed limiting the number of hours women and children could work in industrial jobs, but the outbreak of World War I prevented it from being enacted. In 1924 the U.S. Supreme Court upheld a night-work law for women.

In 1946, public attention to women’s issues received a major boost when the United Nations created the Commission on the Status of Women to address urgent problems facing women around the world. During the 1950s, the U.N. adopted several conventions aimed at improving women’s lives, including the Convention on the Political Rights of Women, adopted in 1952 to ensure women the right to vote, which has been ratified by 120 countries, and the Convention on the Nationality of Married Women, approved in 1957 to ensure that marriage to an alien does not automatically affect the nationality of the woman. That convention has been ratified by only 73 countries; the United States is not among them.

In 1951 The International Labor Organization (ILO), an agency of the United Nations, adopted the Convention on Equal Remuneration for Men and Women Workers for Work of Equal Value, to promote equal pay for equal work. It has since been ratified by 164 countries, but again, not by the United States. Seven years later, the ILO adopted the Convention on Discrimination in Employment and Occupation to ensure equal opportunity and treatment in employment. It is currently ratified by 166 countries, but not the United States. U.S. opponents to the conventions claim there is no real pay gap between men and women performing the same jobs and that the conventions would impose “comparable worth” requirements, forcing companies to pay equal wages to men and women even if the jobs they performed were different.

In 1965, the Commission on the Status of Women began drafting international standards articulating equal rights for men and women. Two years later, the panel completed the Declaration on the Elimination of Discrimination Against Women, which was adopted by the General Assembly but carried no enforcement power.

The commission later began to discuss language that would hold countries responsible for enforcing the declaration. At the U.N.’s first World Conference on Women in Mexico City in 1975, women from around the world called for creation of such a treaty, and the commission soon began drafting the text.

**Women’s ‘Bill of Rights’**

Finally in 1979, after many years of often rancorous debate, the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW) was adopted by the General Assembly — 130 to none, with 10 abstentions. After the vote, however, several countries said their “yes” votes did not commit the support of their governments. Brazil’s U.N. representative told the assembly, “The signatures and ratifications necessary to make this effective will not come easily.”

Despite the prediction, it took less than two years for CEDAW to receive the required number of ratifications to enter it into force — faster than any human-rights convention had ever done before.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1700s-1800s</td>
<td>Age of Enlightenment and Industrial Revolution lead to greater freedoms for women.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1792</td>
<td>Mary Wollstonecraft publishes <em>A Vindication of the Rights of Women</em>, later hailed as “the feminist declaration of independence.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1893</td>
<td>New Zealand becomes first nation to grant women full suffrage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1920</td>
<td>Tennessee is the 36th state to ratify the 19th Amendment, giving American women the right to vote.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1940s-1980s</td>
<td>International conventions endorse equal rights for women. Global conferences highlight need to improve women's rights.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1951</td>
<td>U.N. International Labor Organization adopts convention promoting equal pay for equal work, which has been ratified by 164 countries; the United States is not among them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1952</td>
<td>U.N. adopts convention calling for full women's suffrage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>Sri Lanka elects the world's first female prime minister.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>Maria Estela Martínez de Perón of Argentina becomes the world's first woman president, replacing her ailing husband.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>U.N. holds first World Conference on Women, in Mexico City, followed by similar conferences every five years. U.N. launches the Decade for Women.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>U.N. adopts Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW), dubbed the “international bill of rights for women.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>CEDAW is ratified — faster than any other human-rights convention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990s</td>
<td>Women's rights win historic legal recognition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>U.N. World Conference on Human Rights in Vienna, Austria, calls for ending all violence, sexual harassment and trafficking of women.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Fourth World Conference on Women in Beijing draws 30,000 people, making it the largest in U.N. history. Beijing Platform outlining steps to grant women equal rights is signed by 189 governments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>International Criminal Tribunal convicts eight Bosnian Serb police and military officers for rape during the Bosnian conflict — the first time sexual assault is prosecuted as a war crime.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda recognizes rape and other forms of sexual violence as genocide.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000s</td>
<td>Women make political gains, but sexual violence against women increases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>U.N. calls on governments to include women in peace negotiations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Ellen Johnson Sirleaf of Liberia, Michelle Bachelet of Chile and Portia Simpson Miller of Jamaica become their countries' first elected female heads of state. Women in Kuwait are allowed to run for parliament, winning two seats.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>A woman in Saudi Arabia who was sentenced to 200 lashes after being gang-raped by seven men is pardoned by King Abdullah. Her rapists received sentences ranging from 10 months to five years in prison, and 80 to 1,000 lashes. . . . After failing to recognize any gender-based crimes in its first case involving the Democratic Republic of the Congo, the International Criminal Court hands down charges of “sexual slavery” in its second case involving war crimes in Congo. More than 250,000 women are estimated to have been raped and sexually abused during the country's war.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Turkey lifts 80-year-old ban on women's headscarves in public universities, signaling a drift toward religious fundamentalism. . . . Former housing minister Carme Chacón — 37 and pregnant — is named defense minister of Spain, bringing to nine the number of female cabinet ministers in the Socialist government. . . . Sen. Hillary Rodham Clinton becomes the first U.S. woman to be in a tight race for a major party's presidential nomination.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Often described as an international bill of rights for women, CEDAW defines discrimination against women as “any distinction, exclusion or restriction made on the basis of sex which has the effect or purpose of impairing or nullifying the recognition, enjoyment or exercise by women, irrespective of their marital status, on a basis of equality of men and women, of human rights and fundamental freedoms in the political, economic, social, cultural, civil or any other field.”

Ratifying countries are legally bound to end discrimination against women by incorporating sexual equality into their legal systems, abolishing discriminatory laws against women, taking steps to end trafficking of women and ensuring women equal access to political and public life. Countries must also submit reports at least every four years outlining the steps they have taken to comply with the convention.79

CEDAW also grants women reproductive choice — one of the main reasons the United States has not ratified it. The convention requires signatories to guarantee women’s rights “to decide freely and responsibly on the number and spacing of their children and to have access to the information, education and means to enable them to exercise these rights.”80

While CEDAW is seen as a significant tool to stop violence against women, it actually does not directly mention violence. To rectify this, the CEDAW committee charged with monitoring countries’ compliance in 1992 specified gender-based violence as a form of discrimination prohibited under the convention.81

In 1993 the U.N. took further steps to combat violence against women during the World Conference on Human Rights in Vienna, Austria. The conference called on countries to stop all forms of violence, sexual harassment, exploitation and trafficking of women. It also declared that “violations of the human rights of women in situations of armed conflicts are violations of the fundamental principles of international human rights and humanitarian law.”82

Shortly afterwards, as fighting broke out in the former Yugoslavia and Rwanda, new legal precedents were set to protect women against violence — and particularly rape — during war. In 1996, the International Criminal Tribunal in the Hague, Netherlands, indicted eight Bosnian Serb police officers in connection with the mass rape of Muslim women during the Bosnian war, marking the first time sexual assault had ever been prosecuted as a war crime.83

Two years later, the U.N.’s International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda convicted a former Rwandan mayor for genocide, crimes against humanity, rape and sexual violence — the first time rape and sexual violence were recognized as acts of genocide.84

“Rape is a serious war crime like any other,” said Regan Ralph, then executive director of Human Rights Watch’s Women’s Rights Division, shortly after the conviction. “That’s always been true on paper, but now international courts are finally acting on it.”85

Today, the International Criminal Court has filed charges against several Sudanese officials for rape and other crimes committed in the Darfur region.86 But others are demanding that the court also prosecute those responsible for the rapes in the Eastern Congo, where women are being targeted as a means of destroying communities in the war-torn country.87

Beijing and Beyond

The U.N. World Conference on Women in Mexico City in 1975 produced a 44-page plan of action calling for a decade of special measures to give women equal status and opportunities in law, education, employment, politics and society.88 The conference also kicked off the U.N.’s Decade for Women and led to creation of the U.N. Development Fund for Women (UNIFEM).89

Five years later, the U.N. held its second World Conference on Women in Copenhagen and then celebrated the end of the Decade for Women with the third World Conference in Nairobi in 1985. More than 10,000 representatives from government agencies and NGOs attended the Nairobi event, believed to be the largest gathering on women’s issues at the time.90

Upon reviewing the progress made on women’s issues during the previous 10 years, the U.N. representatives in Nairobi concluded that advances had been extremely limited due to failing economies in developing countries, particularly those in Africa struggling against drought, famine and crippling debt. The conference developed a set of steps needed to improve the status of women during the final 15 years of the 20th century.91

Ten years later, women gathered in Beijing in 1995 for the Fourth World Conference, vowing to turn the rhetoric of the earlier women’s conferences into action. Delegates from 189 governments and 2,600
**Women Suffer Most in Natural Disasters**

*Climate change will make matters worse.*

In natural disasters, women suffer death, disease and hunger at higher rates than men. During the devastating 2004 tsunami in Asia, 70 to 80 percent of the dead were women. During cyclone-triggered flooding in Bangladesh that killed 140,000 people in 1991, nearly five times more women between the ages of 20 and 44 died than men.

Gender discrimination, cultural biases and lack of awareness of women’s needs are part of the problem. For instance, during the 1991 cyclone, Bangladeshi women and their children died in higher numbers because they waited at home for their husbands to return and make evacuation decisions. In addition, flood warnings were conveyed by men to men in public spaces but were rarely communicated to women and children at home.

And during the tsunami, many Indonesian women died because they stayed behind to look for children and other family members. Women clinging to children in floodwaters also tired more quickly and drowned, since most women in the region were never taught to swim or climb trees. In Sri Lanka, many women died because the tsunami hit early on a Sunday morning when they were inside preparing breakfast for their families. Men were generally outside where they had earlier warning of the oncoming floods so they were better able to escape.

Experts now predict global climate change — which is expected to increase the number of natural disasters around the world — will put women in far greater danger than men because natural disasters generally have a disproportionate impact on the world’s poor. Since women comprise 70 percent of those living on less than $1 a day, they will be hardest hit by climate changes, according to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.

“Climate change is not gender-neutral,” said Gro Harlem Brundtland, former prime minister of Norway and now special envoy to the U.N. secretary-general on climate change. “[Women are] more dependent for their livelihood on natural resources that are threatened by climate change. . . . With changes in climate, traditional food sources become more unpredictable and scarce. This exposes women to loss of harvests, often their sole sources of food and income.”

Women produce 60 to 80 percent of the food for household consumption in developing countries. As drought, flooding and desertification increase, experts say women and their families will be pushed further into poverty and famine.

Women also suffer more hardship in the aftermath of natural disasters, and their needs are often ignored during relief efforts.

In many Third World countries, for instance, women have no property rights, so when a husband dies during a natural disaster his family frequently confiscates the land from his widow, leaving her homeless and destitute. And because men usually dominate emergency relief and response agencies, women’s specific needs, such as contraceptives and sanitary napkins, are often overlooked. After floods in Bangladesh in 1998, adolescent girls reported high rates of rashes and urinary tract infections because they had no clean water, could not wash or use menstrual products.

NGOs attended. More than 30,000 women and men gathered at a parallel forum organized by NGOs, also in Beijing.

The so-called Beijing Platform that emerged from the conference addressed 12 critical areas facing women, from poverty to inequality in education to inadequate health care to violence. It brought unprecedented attention to women’s issues and is still considered by many as the blueprint for true gender equality.

The Beijing Conference also came at the center of a decade that produced historic political gains for women around the world — gains that have continued, albeit at a slow pace, into the new century. The 1990s saw more women entering top political positions than ever before. A record 10 countries elected or appointed women as presidents between 1990 and 2000, including Haiti, Nicaragua, Switzerland and Latvia. Another 17 countries chose women prime ministers.

In 2006 Ellen Johnson Sirleaf of Liberia became Africa’s first elected woman president. That same year, Chile elected its first female president, Michelle Bachelet, and Jamaica elected Portia Simpson Miller as its first
no clean water, could not wash their menstrual rags properly in private and had no place to hang them to dry.11

“In terms of reconstruction, people are not talking about women’s needs versus men’s needs,” says June Zeitlin, executive director of the Women’s Environment and Development Organization, a New York City-based international organization that works for women’s equality in global policy. “There is a lack of attention to health care after disasters, issues about bearing children, contraception, rape and vulnerability, menstrual needs — things a male programmer is not thinking about. There is broad recognition that disasters have a disproportionate impact on women. But it stops there. They see women as victims, but they don’t see women as agents of change.”

Women must be brought into discussions on climate change and emergency relief, say Zeitlin and others. Interestingly, she points out, while women are disproportionately affected by environmental changes, they do more than men to protect the environment. Studies show women emit less climate-changing carbon dioxide than men because they recycle more, use resources more efficiently and drive less than men.12

“Women’s involvement in climate-change decision-making is a human right,” said Gerd Johnson-Latham, deputy director of the Swedish Ministry for Foreign Affairs. “If we get more women in decision-making positions, we will have different priorities, and less risk of climate change.”13

2 Ibid.
7 “Gender Equality” fact sheet, Oxfam, www.oxfam.org.uk/resources/issues/gender/introduction.html. Also see ibid.8
8 Ibid., p. 4.
10 “Gender and Health in Disasters,” op. cit.
11 Ibid.
13 Ibid.

female prime minister.95 Also that year, women ran for election in Kuwait for the first time. In Bahrain, a woman was elected to the lower house of parliament for the first time.96 And in 2007, Fernández de Kirchner became the first woman to be elected president of Argentina.

Earlier, a World Bank report had found that government corruption declines as more women are elected into office. The report also cited numerous studies that found women are more likely to exhibit “helping” behavior, vote based on social issues, score higher on “integrity tests,” take stronger stances on ethical behavior and behave more generously when faced with economic decisions.97

“Increasing the presence of women in government may be valued for its own sake, for reasons of gender equality,” the report concluded. “However, our results suggest that there may be extremely important spinoffs stemming from increasing female representation: If women are less likely than men to behave opportunistically, then bringing more women into government may have significant benefits for society in general.”98
CURRENT SITUATION

Rise of Fundamentalism

Despite landmark political gains by women since the late 1990s, violence and repression of women continue to be daily occurrences — often linked to the global growth of religious fundamentalism.

In 2007, a 21-year-old woman in Saudi Arabia was sentenced to 200 lashes and ordered jailed for six months after being raped 14 times by a gang of seven men. The Saudi court sentenced the woman — who was 19 at the time of the attack — because she was alone in a car with her former boyfriend when the attack occurred. Under Saudi Arabia’s strict Islamic law, it is a crime for a woman to meet in private with a man who is not her husband or relative.

After public outcry from around the world, King Abdullah pardoned the woman in December. A government spokesperson, however, said the king fully supported the verdict but issued the pardon in the “interests of the people.”

Another Saudi woman still faces beheading after she was condemned to death for “witchcraft.” Among her accusers is a man who claimed she rendered him impotent with her sorcery. Despite international protest, the king has yet to say if he will pardon her.

In Iraq, the rise of religious fundamentalism since the U.S. invasion has led to a jump in the number of women being killed or beaten in so-called honor crimes. Honor killings typically occur when a woman is suspected of unsanctioned sexual behavior — which can range from flirting to “allowing” herself to be raped. Her relatives believe they must murder her to end the family’s shame.

In the Kurdish region of Iraq, the stoning death of 17-year-old Aswad is not an anomaly. A U.N. mission in October 2007 found that 255 women had been killed in Iraqi Kurdistan in the first six months of 2007 alone — most thought to have been murdered by their communities or families for allegedly committing adultery or entering into a relationship not sanctioned by their families.

The rise of fundamentalism is also sparking a growing debate on the issue of women wearing head scarves, both in Iraq and across the Muslim world. Last August Turkey elected a conservative Muslim president whose wife wears a head scarf, signaling the emergence of a new ruling elite that is more willing to publicly display religious beliefs.

Then in February, Turkey’s parliament voted to ease an
80-year ban on women wearing head scarves in universities, although a ban on head scarves in other public buildings remains in effect.

“This decision will bring further pressure on women,” Nesrin Baytok, a member of parliament, said during debate over the ban. “It will ultimately bring us Hezbollah terror, al Qaeda terror and fundamentalism.”

But others said lifting the ban was actually a victory for women. Fatma Benli, a Turkish women’s-rights activist and lawyer, said the ban on head scarves in public buildings has forced her to send law partners to argue her cases because she is prohibited from entering court wearing her head scarf. It also discourages religiously conservative women from becoming doctors, lawyers or teachers, she says.

Many women activists are quick to say that it is unfair to condemn Islam for the growing abuse against women. “The problem women have with religion is not the religion but the ways men have interpreted it,” says Afshar of the University of York. “What is highly negative is sharia law, which is made by men. Because it’s human-made, women can unmake it. The battle now is fighting against unjust laws such as stoning.”

She says abuses such as forced marriages and honor killings — usually linked in the Western media to Islamic law — actually go directly against the teachings of the Koran. And while the United Nations estimates that some 5,000 women and girls are victims of honor killings each year, millions more are abused and killed in violence unrelated to Islam. Between 10 and 50 percent of all women around the world have been physically abused by an intimate partner in their lifetime, studies show.

“What about the rate of spousal or partner killings in the U.K. or the U.S. that are not called ’honor killings’?” asks Concordia University’s Hoodfar. “Then it’s only occasional ’crazy people’ [committing violence]. But when it’s present in Pakistan, Iran or Senegal, these are uncivilized people doing ’honor killings.’”

And Islamic fundamentalism is not the only brand of fundamentalism on the rise. Christian fundamentalism is also growing rapidly. A 2006 Pew Forum on Religion and Public Life poll found that nearly one-third of all Americans feel the Bible should be the basis of law across the United States. Many women’s-rights activists say Christian fundamentalism threatens women’s rights, particularly with regard to reproductive issues. They also condemn the Vatican’s opposition to the use of condoms, pointing out that it prevents women from protecting themselves against HIV.

“If you look at all your religions, none will say it’s a good thing to beat up or kill someone. They are all based on human dignity,” says Mollmann of Human Rights Watch. “[Bad things] are carried out in the name of religion, but the actual belief system is not killing and maiming women.”

In response to the growing number of honor-based killings, attacks and forced marriages in the U.K., Britain’s Association of Chief Police Officers has created an honor-based violence unit, and the U.K.’s Home Office is drafting an action plan to improve the response of police and other agencies to such violence. Legislation going into effect later this year will also give U.K. courts greater guidance on dealing with forced marriages.

**Evolving Gender Policies**

This past February, the U.N. Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women issued a report criticizing Saudi Arabia for its repression of women. Among other things, the report attacked Saudi Arabia’s ban on women drivers and its activities.
system of male guardianship that denies women equal inheritance, child custody and divorce rights. The criticism came during the panel’s regular review of countries that have ratified CEDAW. Each government must submit reports every four years outlining steps taken to comply with the convention.

The United States is one of only eight countries — among them Iran, Sudan and Somalia — that have refused to ratify CEDAW. Last year, 108 members of the U.S. House of Representatives signed on to a resolution calling for the Senate to ratify CEDAW, but it still has not voted on the measure. During a U.N. vote last November on a resolution encouraging governments to meet their obligations under CEDAW, the United States was the lone nay vote against 173 yea votes.

American opponents of CEDAW — largely pro-life Christians and Republicans — say it would enshrine the right to abortion in Roe v. Wade and be prohibitively expensive, potentially requiring the U.S. government to provide paid maternity leave and other child-care services to all women. They also oppose requirements that the government modify “social and cultural patterns” to eliminate sexual prejudice and to delete any traces of gender stereotypes in textbooks — such as references to women’s lives being primarily in the domestic sector. Many Republicans in Congress also have argued that CEDAW would give too much control over U.S. laws to the United Nations and that it could even require the legalization of prostitution and the abolition of Mother’s Day.

The last time the Senate took action on CEDAW was in 2002, when the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, chaired by Democratic Sen. Joseph Biden of Delaware, voted to send the convention to the Senate floor for ratification. The full Senate, however, never took action. A Biden spokesperson says the senator “remains committed” to the treaty and is “looking for an opportune time” to bring it forward again. But Senate ratification requires 67 votes, and there do not appear to be that many votes for approval.

CEDAW proponents say the failure to ratify not only hurts women but also harms the U.S. image abroad. On this issue, “the United States is in the company of Sudan and the Vatican,” says Bien-Aimé of Equality Now.

Meanwhile, several countries are enacting laws to comply with CEDAW and improve the status of women. In December, Turkmenistan passed its first national law guaranteeing women equal rights, even though its constitution had addressed women’s equality. A royal decree in Saudi Arabia in January ordered an end to a long-time ban on women checking into hotels or renting apartments without male guardians. Hotels can now book rooms to women who show identification, but the hotels must register the women’s details with the police. The Saudi government has also said it will lift the ban on women driving by the end of the year.

And in an effort to improve relations with women in Afghanistan, the Canadian military, which has troops stationed in the region, has begun studying the role women play in Afghan society, how they are affected by military operations and how they can assist peacekeeping efforts. “Behind all of these men are women who can help eradicate the problems of the population,” said Capt. Michel Larocque, who is working with the study. “Illiteracy, poverty, these things can be improved through women.”

In February, during the 52nd session of the Commission on the Status of Women, the United Nations kicked off a new seven-year campaign aimed at ending violence against women. The campaign will work with international agencies, governments and individuals to increase funding for anti-violence campaigns and pressure policy makers around the world to enact legislation to eliminate violence against women.

But women’s groups want increased U.N. spending on women’s programs and the creation of a single unified
## Should sex-selective abortions be outlawed?

**YES**

**Nicholas Eberstadt**  
*Henry Wendt Chair in Political Economy, American Enterprise Institute Member, President’s Council on Bioethics*

The practice of sex-selective abortion to permit parents to destroy unwanted female fetuses has become so widespread in the modern world that it is disfiguring the profile of entire countries — transforming (and indeed deforming) the whole human species.

This abomination is now rampant in China, where the latest census reports six boys for every five girls. But it is also prevalent in the Far East, South Korea, Hong Kong, Taiwan and Vietnam, all of which report biologically impossible “sex ratios at birth” (well above the 103-106 baby boys for every 100 girls ordinarily observed in human populations). In the Caucasus, gruesome imbalances exist now in Armenia, Georgia and Azerbaijan; and in India, the state of Punjab tallies 126 little boys for every 100 girls. Even in the United States, the boy-girl sex ratio at birth for Asian-Americans is now several unnatural percentage points above the national average. So sex-selective abortion is taking place under America’s nose.

How can we rid the world of this barbaric form of sexism? Simply outlawing sex-selective abortions will be little more than a symbolic gesture, as South Korea’s experience has shown: Its sex ratio at birth continued a steady climb for a full decade after just such a national law was passed. As long as abortion is basically available on demand, any legislation to abolish sex-selective abortion will have no impact.

What about more general restrictions on abortion, then? Poll data consistently demonstrate that most Americans do not favor the post- *Roe* regimen of unconditional abortion. But a return to the pre- *Roe* status quo, where each state made its own abortion laws, would probably have very little effect on sex-selective abortion in our country. After all, the ethnic communities most tempted by it are concentrated in states where abortion rights would likely be strongest, such as California and New York.

In the final analysis, the extirpation of this scourge will require nothing less than a struggle for the conscience of nations. Here again, South Korea may be illustrative: Its gender imbalances began to decline when the public was shocked into facing this stain on their society by a spontaneous, homegrown civil rights movement.

To eradicate sex-selective abortion, we must convince the world that destroying female fetuses is horribly wrong. We need something akin to the abolitionist movement: a moral campaign waged globally, with victories declared one conscience at a time.

**NO**

**Marianne Mollmann**  
*Advocacy Director, Women’s Rights Division, Human Rights Watch*

Written for CQ Global Researcher, April 2008

Medical technology today allows parents to test early in pregnancy for fetal abnormalities, hereditary illnesses and even the sex of the fetus, raising terrifying questions about eugenics and population control. In some countries, a growing number of women apparently are terminating pregnancies when they learn the fetus is female. The resulting sex imbalance in countries like China and India is not only disturbing but also leads to further injustices, such as the abduction of girls for forced marriages.

One response has been to criminalize sex-selective abortions. While it is tempting to hope that this could safeguard the gender balance of future generations, criminalization of abortion for whatever reason has led in the past only to underground and unsafe practices. Thus, the criminalization of sex-selective abortion would put the full burden of righting a fundamental wrong — the devaluing of women’s lives — on women.

Many women who choose to abort a female fetus face violence and exclusion if they don’t produce a boy. Some see the financial burden of raising a girl as detrimental to the survival of the rest of their family. These considerations will not be lessened by banning sex-selective abortion. Unless one addresses the motivation for the practice, it will continue — underground.

So what is the motivation for aborting female fetuses? At the most basic level, it is a financial decision. In no country in the world does women’s earning power equal men’s. In marginalized communities in developing countries, this is directly linked to survival: Boys may provide more income than girls.

Severe gaps between women’s and men’s earning power are generally accompanied by severe forms of gender-based discrimination and rigid gender roles. For example, in China, boys are expected to stay in their parental home as they grow up, adding their manpower (and that of a later wife) to the family home. Girls, on the other hand, are expected to join the husbands’ parental home. Thus, raising a girl is a net loss, especially if you are only allowed one child.

The solution is to remove the motivation behind sex-selective abortion by advancing women’s rights and their economic and social equality. Choosing the blunt instrument of criminal law over promoting the value of women’s lives and rights will only serve to place further burdens on marginalized and often vulnerable women.
agency addressing women’s issues, led by an under-secretary general. Currently, four different U.N. agencies address women’s issues: the United Nations Development Fund for Women, the International Research and Training Institute for the Advancement of Women (INSTRAW), the Secretary-General’s Special Advisor on Gender Issues (OSAGI) and the Division for the Advancement of Women. In 2006, the four agencies received only $65 million — a fraction of the more than $2 billion budget that the U.N.’s children's fund (UNICEF) received that year.

“The four entities that focus on women’s rights at the U.N. are greatly under-resourced,” says Zeitlin of the Women’s Environment & Development Organization. “If the rhetoric everyone is using is true — that investing in women is investing in development — it’s a matter of putting your money where your mouth is.”

**Political Prospects**

While the number of women leading world governments is still miniscule compared to their male counterparts, women are achieving political gains that just a few years ago would have been unthinkable.

While for the first time in U.S. history a woman is in a tight race for a major party’s nomination as its candidate for president, South America — with two sitting female heads of state — leads the world in woman-led governments. In Brazil, Dilma Rousseff, the female chief of staff to President Luiz Inacio Lula da Silva, is the top contender to take over the presidency when da Silva’s term ends in 2010. In Paraguay, Blanca Ovelar was this year’s presidential nominee for the country’s ruling conservative Colorado Party, but she was defeated on April 20.

And in Europe, Carme Chacón was named defense minister of Spain this past April. She was not only the first woman ever to head the country’s armed forces but also was pregnant at the time of her appointment. In all, nine of Spain’s 17 cabinet ministers are women.

In March, Pakistan’s National Assembly overwhelmingly elected its first female speaker, Fahmida Mirza. And in India, where Patil has become the first woman president, the two major political parties this year pledged to set aside one-third of their parliamentary nominations for women. But many fear the parties will either not keep their pledges or will run women only in contests they are unlikely to win.

There was also disappointment in Iran, where nearly 600 of the 7,000 candidates running for parliament in March were women. Only three won seats in the 290-member house, and they were conservatives who are not expected to promote women’s rights. Several of the tallies are being contested. Twelve other women won enough votes to face run-off elections on April 25; five won.

But in some countries, women running for office face more than just tough campaigns. They are specifically targeted for violence. In Kenya, the greatest campaign expense for female candidates is the round-the-clock security required to protect them against rape, according to Phoebe Asiyo, who served in the Kenyan parliament for more than two decades. During the three months before Kenya’s elections last December, an emergency helpdesk established by the Education Centre for Women in Democracy, a nongovernmental organization (NGO) in Nairobi, received 258 reports of attacks against female candidates.

The helpdesk reported the attacks to police, worked with the press to ensure the cases were documented and helped victims obtain medical and emotional support. Attacks included rape, stabbings, threats and physical assaults.

“We are being attacked because they are women and because it is seen as though they are not fit to bear flags of the popular parties,” according to the center’s Web site. “Women are also viewed as guilty for invading ‘the male territory’ and without a license to do so!”

“All women candidates feel threatened,” said Nazlin Umar, the sole female presidential candidate last year. “When a case of violence against a woman is reported, we women on the ground think we are next. I think if the government assigned all women candidates with guns . . . we will at least have an item to protect ourselves when we face danger.”

**Impunity for Violence**

Some African feminists blame women themselves, as well as men, for not doing enough to end traditional attitudes that perpetuate violence against women.

“Women are also to blame for the violence because they are the gatekeepers of patriarchy, because whether educated or not they have different standards for their sons and husbands [than for] their daughters,” said Njoki Wainaina, founder of the African Women Development
Communication Network (FEMNET). “How do you start telling a boy whose mother trained him only disrespect for girls to honor women in adulthood?”

Indeed, violence against women is widely accepted in many regions of the world and often goes unpunished. A study by the World Health Organization found that 80 percent of women surveyed in rural Egypt believe that a man is justified in beating a woman if she refuses to have sex with him. In Ghana, more women than men—50 percent compared to 43 percent—felt that a man was justified in beating his wife if she used contraception without his consent. (See survey results, p. 4.) Such attitudes have led to many crimes against women going unpunished, and not just violence committed during wartime. In Guatemala, no one knows why an estimated 3,000 women have been killed over the past seven years—many of them beheaded, sexually mutilated or raped—but theories range from domestic violence to gang activity.

Meanwhile, the government in 2006 overturned a law allowing rapists to escape charges if they offered to marry their victims. But Guatemalan law still does not prescribe prison sentences for domestic abuse and prohibits abusers from being charged with assault unless the bruises are still visible after 10 days. In the Mexican cities of Chihuahua and Juárez, more than 400 women have been murdered over the past 14 years, with many of the bodies mutilated and dumped in the desert. But the crimes are still unsolved, and many human-rights groups, including Amnesty International, blame indifference by Mexican authorities. Now the country’s 14-year statute of limitations on murder is forcing prosecutors to close many of the unsolved cases. Feminists around the world have been working to end dismissive cultural attitudes about domestic violence and other forms of violence against women, such as forced marriage, dowry-related violence, marital rape, sexual harassment and forced abortion, sterilization and prostitution. But it’s often an uphill battle.

After a Kenyan police officer beat his wife so badly she was paralyzed and brain damaged—and eventually died—media coverage of the murder spurred a nationwide debate on domestic violence. But it took five years of protests, demonstrations and lobbying by both women’s advocates and outraged men to get a family protection bill enacted criminalizing domestic violence. And the bill passed only after legislators removed a provision outlawing marital rape. Similar laws have languished for decades in other African legislatures.

But in Rwanda, where nearly 49 percent of the elected representatives in the lower house are female, gender desks have been established at local police stations, staffed mostly by women trained to help victims of sexual and other violence. In 2006, as a result of improved reporting, investigation and response to rape cases, police referred 1,777 cases for prosecution and convicted 803 men. “What we need now is to expand this approach to more countries,” said UNIFEM’s director for Central Africa Josephine Odera.

Besides criticizing governments for failing to prosecute gender-based violence, many women’s groups also criticize the International Criminal Court (ICC) for not doing enough to bring abusers to justice. “We have yet to see the investigative approach needed to ensure the prosecution of gender-based crimes,” said Brigid Inder, executive director of Women’s Initiatives for Gender Justice, a Hague-based group that promotes and monitors women’s rights in the international court.

Inder’s group released a study last November showing that of the 500 victims seeking to participate in ICC proceedings, only 38 percent were women. When the court handed down its first indictments for war crimes in the Democratic Republic of the Congo last year, no charges involving gender-based crimes were brought despite estimates that more than 250,000 women have been raped and sexually abused in the country. After an outcry from women’s groups around the world, the ICC included “sexual slavery” among the charges handed down in its second case involving war crimes in Congo.

The Gender Justice report also criticized the court for failing to reach out to female victims. It said the ICC has held only one consultation with women in the last four years (focusing on the Darfur conflict in Sudan) and has failed to develop any strategies to reach out to women victims in Congo.

OUTLOOK Economic Integration

Women’s organizations do not expect—or want—another international conference on the scale of Beijing. Instead, they say, the resources needed to launch such a
They also fear that the growth of religious fundamentalism and neo-liberal economic policies around the globe have created a political atmosphere that could actually set back women's progress.

“If a Beijing conference happened now, we would not get the type of language or the scope we got 10 years ago,” says Bien-Aimé of Equity Now. “There is a conservative movement, a growth in fundamentalists governments — and not just in Muslim countries. We would be very concerned about opening up debate on the principles that have already been established.”

Dahlerup of Stockholm University agrees. “It was easier in the 1990s. Many people are afraid of having big conferences now, because there may be a backlash because fundamentalism is so strong,” she says. “Neo-liberal trends are also moving the discourse about women toward economics — women have to benefit for the sake of the economic good. That could be very good, but it’s a more narrow discourse when every issue needs to be adapted into the economic discourse of a cost-benefit analysis.”

For women to continue making gains, most groups say, gender can no longer be treated separately from broader economic, environmental, health or other political issues. While efforts to improve the status of women have historically been addressed in gender-specific legislation or international treaties, women’s groups now say women’s well-being must now be considered an integral part of all policies.

Women’s groups are working to ensure that gender is incorporated into two major international conferences coming up this fall. In September, the Third High-Level Forum on Aid Effectiveness will be hosted in Accra, Ghana, bringing together governments, financial institutions, civil society organizations and others to assess whether assistance provided to poor nations is being put to good use. World leaders will also gather in November in Doha, Qatar, for the International Conference on Financing for Development to discuss how trade, debt relief and financial aid can promote global development.

“Women’s groups are pushing for gender to be on the agenda for both conferences,” says Zeitlin of WEDO. “It’s important because . . . world leaders need to realize that it really does make a difference to invest in women. When it comes to women’s rights it’s all micro, but the big decisions are made on the macro level.”

Despite decades of economic-development strategies promoted by Western nations and global financial institutions such as the World Bank, women in many regions are getting poorer. In Malawi, for example, the percentage of women living in poverty increased by 5 percent between 1995 and 2003. Women and girls make up 70 percent of the world’s poorest people, and their wages rise more slowly than men’s. They also have fewer property rights around the world. With the growing global food shortage, women — who are the primary family caregivers and produce the majority of crops for home consumption in developing countries — will be especially hard hit.

To help women escape poverty, gain legal rights and improve their social status, developed nations must rethink their broader strategies of engagement with developing countries. And, conversely, female activists say, any efforts aimed at eradicating poverty around the world must specifically address women’s issues.

In Africa, for instance, activists have successfully demanded that women’s economic and security concerns be addressed as part of the continent-wide development plan known as the New Partnership for Africa’s Development (NEPAD). As a result, countries participating in NEPAD’s
peer review process must now show they are taking measures to promote and protect women’s rights. But, according to Augustin Wambo, an agricultural specialist at the NEPAD secretariat, lawmakers now need to back up their pledges with “resources from national budgets” and the “necessary policies and means to support women.”

“We have made a lot of progress and will continue making progress,” says Zeitlin. “But women’s progress doesn’t happen in isolation to what’s happening in the rest of the world. The environment, the global economy, war, peace — they will all have a major impact on women. Women all over the world will not stop making demands and fighting for their rights.”
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The late Irish journalist provides vivid details and anecdotes about women’s oppression throughout history.

The book contends that before the rise of Judeo-Christian patriarchies women headed the first societies and religions.

A feminist who has worked for Oxfam and other non-governmental organizations outlines major issues facing women today — from violence to globalization to AIDS.

The United Nations Environment Programme shows the integral link between women in the developing world and the changing environment.

Articles

“Honor killings” and related violence against women are on the rise in the United Kingdom.


Two trade and gender experts describe the precarious working conditions and job security experienced by food and garment workers.

Reports and Studies

A women’s-rights organization reviews the progress and shortcomings of governments in implementing the commitments made during the Fifth World Congress on Women in Beijing in 1995.

International organizations demonstrate the progress governments have made — or not — in reaching the Millennium Development Goals.

This seventh annual report discusses the growing problems of human trafficking around the world.

Looking at how the 2004 tsunami affected women in Indonesia, India and Sri Lanka, Oxfam International suggests how governments can better address women’s issues during future natural disasters.

The report provides detailed databases of the history of female political representation in governments around the world.

The handbook provides female politicians and candidates information and case studies on how women have overcome obstacles to elected office.
The report argues that greater work protection and security is needed to promote women’s rights and reduce global poverty.

The report describes the impact that gender quota systems have on women’s representation in elected office.

Through exhaustive statistics, case studies and interviews, the report paints a grim picture of how trade globalization is affecting women.

Turquet, Laura, Patrick Watt and Tom Sharman, “Hit or Miss?” ActionAid, March 7, 2008.
The report reviews how governments are doing in achieving the U.N.’s Millennium Development Goals.
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