An Autobiographical Introduction

CHAPTER OVERVIEW

Chapter 1 introduces the focus of this book on providing an introduction to how qualitative and quantitative data analysis is undertaken by criminologists. The chapter outlines the importance of numbers and words for exploring criminal life and discusses how, although criminology is a highly diverse and fragmented discipline, at its centre lies a common commitment to undertaking rigorous and systematic empirical research as a member of a broader academic community. The chapter ends with a brief summary of subsequent chapter content.
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The Importance of Words and Numbers

This book provides an introduction to different forms of data analysis used by criminologists to explore and examine the social world using words and numbers. A range of approaches to the analysis of narrative and numeric data are examined, including grounded theory analysis, narrative analysis, discourse analysis, univariate analysis and bivariate analysis. There are several research methods texts specific to criminology which provide students with help managing a research project.
(i.e. King and Wincup 2007; Crowe and Semmens 2008; Davies et al. 2011). Yet these texts don’t focus in detail on the mechanics of doing different forms of data analysis. In addition to providing practical examples of how to do data analysis this book seeks to contextualize its content to help the reader understand the underlying key principles that serve to shape the analytic process. It does this by outlining the historical development of the different analytical strategies it discusses within the emergence of qualitative and quantitative research within criminology as an academic discipline. Self-study questions and further readings on a range of issues pertinent to the collection and analysis of criminological data, including research design and the presentation of empirical findings, are also provided. Although written with the budding criminologist in mind, students studying other social science subjects will also find it a useful text. After all, sociologists, psychologists, geographers, as well as communication and media analysts, all examine the world in which we live using words and numbers.

When I was an undergraduate student one of my favourite tutors used to like to end each lecture she gave with what she called ‘question time’, so each week we had to take it in turns to ask her a question. The question could be about anything we wanted. It didn’t have to even be related to the topic we had just listened to. We were encouraged to speak our mind and constantly reminded that nothing was off limits and only a foolish person thinks there is such a thing as a stupid question. It was a small and friendly class entitled ‘Humanity’s place in Nature’ which ran for the whole of the first year of my undergraduate degree on a Wednesday evening. It was as interesting as its title suggested. To this day I view it as one of the best classes I’ve attended and in no small part this was down to the lecturer who ran it. Each week we would explore our topic – the place of human beings within the universe – by examining an aspect of the history of western and eastern philosophy and religion. As we did so we learnt about the differences and similarities between, for example, Buddhism and Islam, Hellenistic and Continental philosophy, Psychotherapy and ‘Hippie’ Counter-Culture, as well as Cubism, Deep Ecology and Postmodernism.

As you can perhaps imagine, virtually all the questions we asked weren’t that creative, or that memorable for that matter – although they certainly may have seemed so at the time. Nevertheless, our lecturer always used our questions as a starting place from which to develop a healthy exchange of ideas and opinions. Most importantly, she always reminded us what we were doing in her class: looking at the different ways human beings try to make sense of the world around them. She often talked about how humans liked to ask questions and seek answers by telling stories about the world and their place in it using a mixture of words and numbers. Indeed, one of the first things we do when we are children is learn the power of words and numbers for understanding and navigating the world around us. As we grow so does our appreciation of how they help us grasp its key features, reoccurring patterns and surprising events. She reminded us how each generation looks to both the past and the future as it attempts to explain why the
world looks and behaves the way it does. In our long search for answers we have sometimes been lucky enough to uncover the hidden structures and patterns which seem to control our environment. What is more, she said, we have learnt to express these in the forms of numbers and words. We give them names and tell stories about how we came to find them. So we associate the discovery of gravity with the story of Newton and his apple tree. While in their more abstract forms they compress the complex world around us into an eloquent mathematical equation, such as \( E = mc^2 \), or some equally seductive narrative hypothesis that explains why things are the way they are.

Words and numbers not only provide us with access to the underlying structures present in the world around us, they also help us build a sense of self and allow us to communicate to others our own life story alongside that of the time and place in human history in which we live. But perhaps most importantly, they help us manipulate our environment and change it to get what we want. Harnessing the power of words and numbers, we have been able to change our surroundings, mass produce crops and livestock to sustain growing populations, eradicate certain diseases and contain still others, build extraordinary cities, as well as develop amazing information and communication technologies which make the global truly local. Important events from all over the world, which historically would have taken weeks or even months to reach us, now appear instantaneously on the screen in front of us. Coincidences, such as finding out that a new acquaintance also knows an old friend, are simply an expression of the underlying structures which drive the natural and social worlds we inhabit and the stories we in turn tell about them. After all, in a world built on probability and chance, but which nevertheless likes a good story, coincidences are bound to happen. What is more, they happen more often than we would care to admit.

My interest in how numbers and words can be used by the social sciences to examine the world around us has lasted throughout my undergraduate and postgraduate studies and into my subsequent academic career. During my Master’s degree I conducted research in a prison in the United Kingdom, looking at the care and treatment of mentally disordered offenders. At this time (the mid-1990s) more formalised multi-agency working had been introduced nationally for the treatment of offenders who have mental health problems in prison as well as on their release into the community (James 2010). The numbers have consistently revealed that a disproportionately high level of mental illness and alcohol and drug abuse exists amongst the prison population. For example, a recent House of Commons report estimated that at least 70 per cent of prisoners in the United Kingdom suffer from two or more mental disorders, while noting that in the general population the comparative figures are 5 per cent for men and 2 per cent for women (Berman 2011). The situation was much the same when I was doing my research. Against this stark statistical background I sat and talked over cups of tea and biscuits to people whose life stories reinforced the complex nature of the problem of how best to care for individuals with mental health issues within an
institutional environment primarily designed to punish wrongdoers. The narratives I collected to my mind revealed the presence of underlying socio-economic, cultural and ideological structures, which were at work shaping people's lives and restricting the life opportunities and personal choices some individuals have available to them, particularly if they happen to be born in the wrong geographical area, look and act differently, come from a troubled family background, or just have had a run of bad luck. Each day I spent completing my research in the prison environment not only made me more grateful that I could go home to my family but also reminded me of the power of words for exploring the social world around me.

Yet it wasn't until I began my doctoral research looking at the reasons why health and social care professionals sometimes use their position to commit murder and other criminal acts – such as in the case of the general practitioner Harold Shipman, who killed some two hundred of his patients – that I really started to get to grips with a broader range of analytical approaches available in the social sciences to analyse words (or qualitative data analysis as it is more formally called). Up until this point I had primarily used what is called grounded theory to analyse the stories I collected. This approach is sometimes referred to as thematic analysis owing to its tendency to ‘chunk’ pieces of text (usually interview responses) into thematic categories. Grounded theory analysis is perhaps the most commonly used qualitative analysis method in the social sciences, and involves building up your story of what is happening and why from people's own accounts, instead of approaching them with some pre-existing theory in mind. We will look at this approach in more detail in Chapter 3 when we examine different strategies for collecting data, as well as in Chapter 4 where we discuss grounded theory analysis in detail. For the moment it is enough to say that it was at this point that I began to expand my analytical repertoire beyond grounded theory analysis through exploring how to incorporate narrative analysis and discourse analysis in my work. For me, these approaches opened up a range of new theoretical opportunities for critically exploring criminal life and the role played by language, power and social structure in shaping human agency. I hope after reading Chapters 5 and 6, which respectively discuss narrative analysis and discourse analysis, that the reader will agree with me that their emergence within the social sciences over the past three decades has done much to enhance the reach of the criminological imagination.

For all I valued having a range of different analytical approaches to help me look in different ways at qualitative data, I never forgot the emphasis placed by my undergraduate lecturer on using both words and numbers when exploring the world around us. But examining the world using numbers, or quantitative data analysis as it is more formally called, doesn't appeal to all students. Reviews of quantitative teaching in higher education in the United Kingdom by Williams et al. (2006, 2008) reveal the wariness social science students can feel towards quantitative methods teaching, with the research showing that two out of three would rather write an essay than analyse numeric data and do statistics. As my postgraduate
studies progressed and I began my academic teaching career I increasingly rec-
ognised the importance of nurturing students’ statistical skills and understanding of
the role played by quantitative research in the ongoing intellectual development of
the social sciences. My experience has shown that students may perhaps feel nerv-
ous when they find out they will be doing ‘numbers analysis’. Nevertheless, if
approached in the right way, quantitative methods teaching can significantly
enhance their personal development and educational experience – partly through
developing their awareness of the diverse range of statistically focused career and
employment opportunities available to social science graduates, but mainly because,
if managed carefully, quantitative teaching can enrich their understanding of the
dynamic relationships which exist between criminological disciplinary discourse and
practical real-world social problems and issues. Yet, to my mind, achieving this goal
requires students be introduced to quantitative analysis in the first year of their stud-
ies, with this teaching being progressively deepened during subsequent years. This
does not always happen in the United Kingdom – a state of affairs which deeply
concerns the Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) in its role as perhaps
the key social science research funding body in the United Kingdom, which also
grants PhD studentship bursaries (ESRC 2011).

In a recent report the ESRC strategic advisor on quantitative methods recom-
mended after reviewing teaching provision nationally that cultural and institutional
change was needed across the higher education sector ‘to secure increased curricu-
ulum space for quantitative methods, including teaching in year 1 and more contact
time for students’ (MacInnes 2009: 27). In no small part this is why the introduction
to quantitative data analysis covered in Chapters 7 and 8 of this book is specifically
designed to introduce students to the main features of how the analysis of numbers
is approached by criminologists. The goal is to provide a foundation to the analysis
of numbers which students can apply in their own project work as well as subse-
quently build on as they move forward to examining more complex statistical
procedures and techniques. Taken together Chapters 7 and 8 are an invitation for
the reader to take the first step in what is a vitally important aspect of the study of
the criminal life. More advanced students will find these chapters useful as an aide-
memoire to the basics of doing quantitative data analysis.

This focus on outlining the key features of how quantitative research is under-
taken by the criminological academic community brings us to an important point
concerning the analysis of words and numbers. Our ability to ask questions, to
listen, to observe and critically reflect on the world around us, is built on our ability
to use words and numbers to negotiate everyday life – we use them to manage our
personal finances, choose the right house to buy, make a case for a job promotion, or
decide which political party to vote for. But as we will discuss in Chapters 2 and 3,
criminological analysis may well be built on our everyday commonsense under-
standings concerning the world around us and how it works; however, as an aca-
demic discipline it also seeks to move beyond these. As such it has developed its
own distinctive ways of examining the world which are often expressed in the
form of key disciplinary concepts, theories and perspectives. A key theme of this
book is that criminological research is a systematic and accumulative endeavour,
undertaken by a community of scholars, all of whom contribute to a growing
corpus of shared knowledge, even when they disagree with each other. Indeed,
many academics, myself included, would say this is especially the case when they
disagree with each other. Consequently Chapter 2 discusses, amongst other things,
what is commonly referred to as the literature review and how this plays a key
role in shaping criminological research even when we adopt a grounded theory
approach. But for the moment I think it is important to focus on the fact that
criminology is a highly diverse and fragmented discipline – no one viewpoint
dominates, no one theory explains all. For this brings us to what I like to call the
triangle of criminology.

The Triangle of Criminology

When asked about the undergraduate criminology degree course I teach I often
find myself talking to prospective students (and sometimes their parents) about the
triangle of criminology. I find this is a useful device for reinforcing the multi-
disciplinary nature of criminology. So I explain that, like a triangle, criminology
can be said to be made up of three interconnected ‘angles’: administrative crim-
nology, biological and psychological criminology, and finally, sociological crim-
inology. I find the metaphor of a triangle works quite well as it reinforces how
each discipline is connected to each other and all are concerned (but in different
ways) by a shared concern with crime and deviance, which consequently can be
said to lie at the centre of the triangle (see Figure 1.1). Typically I point out that
saying the subject matter of criminology is crime is more than a little problematic.
Although it may seem like common sense to say criminologists are concerned with
crime, we need to ask ourselves if we really want to restrict our thinking to a topic
whose content and boundaries are defined by the state and its institutionalised
agencies of social control, i.e. the legal system, the police and so on. Shouldn’t
criminology as an independent academic discipline be concerned with critically
analysing the lawmakers and lawkeepers just as much as the lawbreakers? Although
we may feel we have a strong innate sense of right and wrong the fact of the mat-
ter is that crime is a social construct and indeed definitions surrounding what
constitutes a criminal act change over time. For example, homosexuality was once
considered a crime in the United Kingdom. What is more, definitions of what is
a crime also vary by geographical location. For example, the age of consent for
sexual intercourse varies worldwide, indeed within Europe alone it is 13 in Spain
and 16 in the United Kingdom. It is for these reasons that criminologists usually
add the concept of deviance when discussing the focus of their disciplinary subject.
Deviance is usually defined as behaviour which may not necessarily be illegal but nevertheless deviates from what is perceived as normal group behaviour. Hence including it in the focus of criminology allows us to examine both the social construction of ‘the other’ and so the processes by which certain behaviours come to be labelled as ‘criminal’ while others do not.

**Figure 1.1** The triangle of criminology

Being the subject matter of criminology, crime and deviance lie at the centre of our criminological triangle. Our next step is to consider the angles of our triangle. Let us begin with administrative criminology. Within criminology, administrative criminology is often taken to refer to a distinctive policy-oriented disciplinary development that emerged in the United Kingdom in the 1980s; it is concerned with situational crime prevention, that is, the measures taken at a local level to close down the opportunity for crime through preventive strategies such as the use of CCTV, the employment of shop security, the design of urban or city centre space, and so on. However, what I am referring to here under the banner of administrative criminology is the structure and processes of the criminal justice system and its associated agencies of social control, i.e. the police, legal system and so on. Students studying undergraduate criminology programmes tend to expect that they will be taught about how the criminal justice system in the United Kingdom is organised and operates in practice, what its underlying principles and
key procedures and who its key social actors are, as well as how certain key historical and contemporary legislative developments have impacted on the policing of crime and punishment of offenders. As part of this, students may well also explore the origins of criminology as an academic discipline and so be introduced to the next angle in our triangle: biological and psychological criminology.

A concern with crime and punishment may have existed for as long as recorded history yet it is only in the last 150 years or so that a distinctively scientific form of criminology has emerged. As we will discuss in Chapter 3, from the nineteenth century onwards we see an increasing emphasis on incorporating within criminology the methodological techniques of modern science with the result that a growing emphasis was placed by criminologists on collecting empirical evidence and engaging in practical experiment. While the focus of administrative criminology could be said to be on how society manages and deals practically with the problem of crime and deviance via a criminal justice system, with biological and psychological criminology the emphasis is very much about looking at the causes of crime and using the tools of modern science to do so. Most importantly, it is about looking at the causes of crime within the context of evolutionary, genetic or psychological predispositions to commit crime. Forerunners of this approach in the nineteenth century were Lombroso, Ferri and Garofalo, who sought to identify ‘the criminal type’ – which they felt was a throwback to an earlier stage in human evolution and so inferior to the normal population – through collecting and scrutinising the physical features of offenders. Their research led them to conclude that common indicators of ‘the criminal type’ included large cheekbones, flat noses and large eyebrows. Following Darwin’s evolutionary theory such ‘abnormalities’ were perceived to be inherited from one generation to the next, creating a predisposition to crime within certain sections of society (who just also happened to be the poor, dispossessed and socially excluded).

More recently a range of biochemical factors have been discussed as possible biological triggers for crime: hormone imbalances, serotonin levels, testosterone, vitamin B deficiency and hyperactivity have all been suggested as risk factors for a propensity for aggression and violence, which in turn may lead to criminality (Rowe 2002). It is also worth noting that the growth of psychiatry as a medical discipline was tied up with the development of early research surrounding the criminal type, for its focus on organic and so biological explanations for mental illness fitted well with a growing political and cultural emphasis on value-neutral science and natural (as opposed to religious) explanations for human behaviour and social problems. Over the last several decades psychological explanations have joined the debate through locating possible causes of criminal behaviour within responses to traumatic life experiences, such as childhood abandonment or instances of physical and sexual abuse (Howitt 2006).

The viewpoint that certain sections of society are inherently ‘bad’ or ‘criminal’ may not carry the immediate sense of legitimacy it perhaps once did amongst political
elites and professional groups, including criminologists. Yet the idea that criminality is immutably connected to some underlying element of human nature, which may lie dormant within certain individuals unless certain biological or psychological trigger events occur, nevertheless to some degree still influences commonly held notions concerning why some people commit crime. The popularity of this viewpoint for political elites which advocate a more punitive approach towards crime to some extent lies in the fact that the analytical focus stays on individuals rather than the social environments in which they live. This leads us to our next angle in our criminological triangle: sociological criminology. Here the emphasis remains on looking at the causes of crime, but the focus shifts from looking for this inside individuals towards searching for it within the broader social conditions in which people live.

It would be oversimplistic to say that biological and psychological perspectives concerning crime do not recognise the importance of ‘the social’ when examining human behaviour. But their focus typically remains on an individual’s familial background and social relationships, particularly during childhood and key transitional life stages from puberty to adulthood, rather than the key sociological themes of power, inequality and social structure. Within sociological criminology, emphasis is placed on exploring crime in relation to the unequal gender, class, race and ethnicity relations present in society. These social constructs are held to shape human behaviour as well as the opportunities and choices an individual has available to them. A concern with exploitive social relationships, social exclusion, as well as the unequal distribution of social opportunity, lies at the centre of sociological criminology. Hence, sometimes the terms critical criminology, radical criminology and sociological criminology are used interchangeably to describe this approach – while the more recent development of cultural criminology serves to further complicate matters. Key analytical concepts for this approach to criminology include patriarchy, institutional racism, social disorganisation, differential association and differential opportunity, strain, status frustration, labelling and social control. This brings to the foreground the point that criminology is a broad church incorporating a variety of perspectives or movements, including the sociology of deviance, left and right realism, feminism, subculture analysis, victimology, cultural criminology, postmodernism and peacemaking criminology (Tierney 2006).

This broad brushstroke outline of the criminological triangle reinforces three key issues relating to the study of crime and deviance. First, when exploring a topic we need to consider the relevance of each angle of our triangle even if we wish to focus our attention on one aspect of it. For example, with the issue of domestic violence we may be primarily concerned with exploring the impact of culture and ideology in the form of patriarchy on the experience of victim reporting (sociological criminology). But we must also consider how the criminal justice system responds to this offence, both punitively and in terms of offender rehabilitation,
particularly if we are interested in critically evaluating whether how victims are treated by the legal system has changed over time (which is a concern for administrative criminology, but in some respects sociological forms of criminology too). It would also be useful to identify what is known about the profile of the offender, the effectiveness of offender treatment and victim support programmes, as well as what the personal, emotional and psychological impact for victims may be of reporting this offence and subsequently giving evidence in court (psychological criminology).

Recognising the need to view ‘all the angles’ surrounding a topic leads us to the second key issue highlighted by our discussion of the triangle of criminology. Namely, criminology may have its own disciplinary academic corpus in the form of published research, journal articles, books and so on, but it nevertheless does borrow empirical findings, viewpoints and conclusions from a range of other academic disciplines, including social policy, law, biology, philosophy, medicine, sociology, genetics, education, history, economics, psychology (social and forensic) and geography, to name but a few. Criminology is inherently a fragmented discipline and is arguably better off for being so. For one of the key consequences of the fact that no one theoretical perspective holds sway over criminology’s intellectual foundations is that it always welcomes alternative opinions and viewpoints, which in turn means it remains ever open to new theoretical insights and empirical research possibilities.

This point brings us to our third and final issue, which also is one of the key themes running through the subsequent chapters of this book. Criminology may well be a fragmented discipline but as a social science what distinguishes it from everyday commonsense discourse is a commitment shared by its members to rigorously exploring and testing disciplinary assumptions and theories through engaging in systematic empirical inquiry. This does not mean armchair theorising is not valued as highly as empirical inquiry. Both are needed in equal measure for any academic discipline to flourish and grow. But it does mean that criminological research is often designed and undertaken by individuals with academic and policy-making communities in mind, a fact we perhaps can see most clearly in the role of the published literature surrounding the topic in helping a researcher formulate their initial research question, design a project to answer it, analyse their findings, as well as subsequently consider what the implications of their results may be.

**Purpose and Structure of this Book**

The act of doing criminological research requires that we undertake a commitment to incorporating the work of others within our own thinking about a topic, and open up our research findings to critical peer appraisal. Ensuring that we can
justify how we went about analysing our research data is a central feature of this process: when we present our findings it is necessary to outline not only why we asked the research question we did and collected our data in a certain way; we must also critically discuss how we went about analysing our data. This book seeks to make this process a little easier for the first-time researcher through outlining the main features of different approaches to qualitative and quantitative data analysis against the background of their emergence within the development of criminology as an academic discipline. Hence it has two key aims:

1. To provide an introduction to different forms of qualitative and quantitative data analysis, as well as to place this discussion within the context of the development of criminology as an academic discipline.
2. To outline key features of the research process and provide guidance and further readings to help students plan a research project.

The following chapters are structured in such a way as to fulfil these aims. Chapter 2 discusses the importance of deskwork and the need to carefully organise and plan a research project before conducting fieldwork. The chapter also focuses on the process of moving from initially identifying a broad research area to subsequently focusing this down into a researchable topic with a clear question to answer. The role played in this process by study skills, project management, assessment criteria and the existing academic literature are also outlined. Following on from this discussion Chapter 3 acts as a bridge between Chapter 2 and subsequent chapters, which are concerned with different data analysis techniques; hence it discusses project planning and research design and management issues, including making contacts, gaining access and obtaining ethical approval to conduct research. In doing so the chapter examines important aspects of the history and conduct of criminological research, including the role of criminological theory in initial research design.

Chapters 4, 5 and 6 are concerned with qualitative data analysis, and discuss, respectively, grounded theory analysis, narrative analysis and discourse analysis. Each chapter follows a similar format, first outlining the history of each approach to the analysis of words, before detailing their main analytical principles using illustrative examples from published research to help the reader apply what they have learned in their own research. Chapters 7 and 8 follow a similar format although they discuss, respectively, univariate and bivariate quantitative data analysis. The focus here is on exploring how to summarise statistical data and identify possible relationships between two variables, such as gender and the fear of crime. Finally Chapter 9 returns to the theme of deskwork and discusses the purpose of doing criminological research alongside the writing-up and dissemination of research findings.
Taken together the contents of this book are an invitation to the reader to enter the world of numbers and words as a means to capture and explore criminological life in all its colourful hues. It is up to the reader to decide how they respond. Although in my experience I have found that most students relish the challenge of doing their own research project, nevertheless often a little nervousness and self-doubt creeps in. Furthermore, just as there are no easy and straightforward answers to the problem of crime, similarly there are no easy options when it comes to entering the world of doing criminological research and data analysis. However, the first-time researcher should not underestimate their ability to successfully manage a research project. After all, they already use words and numbers to explore and understand the world around them. Indeed, this ability is essential to completing a whole host of everyday tasks. Nor should the first-time researcher underestimate

---

**Box 1.1**

**Self-study tasks, case studies, recommended readings and chapter review activities**

Each chapter has study boxes which contain self-study tasks, illustrative case study examples of key points, recommended readings, as well as chapter review activities.

*Self-study tasks* are provided to help the reader: first, develop their own research project and identify an appropriate topic and question given their practical circumstances; second, design an empirical study using a qualitative, quantitative or mixed-methods approach; and third, write up and present research findings after completing the data analysis process.

*Illustrative case studies* are provided throughout the book to help the reader understand key issues and points as well as how the forms of data analysis outlined are conducted so they can apply them in their own research. The examples used are drawn from internationally published academic sources to help the reader contextualise their learning within criminology as a critical discipline concerned with examining criminological life using a variety of sources and materials.

*Recommended further readings* are provided at key points during chapters to act as resources and help the reader examine a topic or issue in greater detail. Not all the further readings are book-based; some useful website references are also provided.

*Chapter review self-study activities* can be found at the end of each chapter. These take the form of tasks which can be completed using the content of a chapter as well as the further readings provided therein. Completing these task activities will help the reader to further consolidate their learning.
the value of a ‘can do’ attitude, dogged persistence, as well as a good dollop of sheer luck and serendipity, particularly if they are going to successfully negotiate all the potential and actual problems which lie before them when they begin a practical research project. This said, each person must learn for themselves the truth of the axiom that no research project ever goes completely to plan no matter how well planned it may be. The resources, guidelines and rules of thumb scattered throughout this book should, however, make this journey of self-discovery a little easier.

CHAPTER READING LIST